UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO: 03-12307RGS

JOSEPH CHRISTOFORO Plaintiff,

v.

SHERIFF FRANK G. COUSINS, ET AL Defendants

DEFENDANT FRANK G. COUSINS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. The defendant is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1.
- 2. The defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 2.
- 3. The defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 3.
- 4. The defendant is without sufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.
- 5. Paragraph 5 is jurisdictional in nature and does not require an admission or denial by the defendant. To the extent that there are allegations against the defendant in paragraph 5 they are hereby denied.
- 6. Paragraph 6 is jurisdictional in nature and does not require an admission or denial by the defendant.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. The defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 7.

- 8. The defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 8.
- 9. The defendant admits that defendant Lupo was an employee of the Essex County
 Sheriff's Department but denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph
 9.
- 10. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 10.
- 11. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 11.
- 12. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 12.
- 13. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 13.
- 14. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 14.
- 15. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 15.
- 16. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 16.

COUNT I

17.-18. These allegations against defendant Lupo and do not require an admission or denial with respect to defendant Cousins.

COUNT II

- 19. The defendant reasserts his response to paragraphs 1 through 18 as if fully incorporated herein.
- 20. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 20.
- 21. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 21.
- 22. The defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 22.

COUNT III

These allegations are against certain unknown individuals and do not require 23. admission or denial by defendant Cousins.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The defendant requests a trial by jury on all counts.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First

The plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Second

The plaintiff has failed to comply with the statute of limitations.

Third

The plaintiff has not exhausted his administrative remedies pursuant to the Prisoner Litigation Reform Act.

Fourth

The defendant is qualifiedly immune from liability.

Fifth

The plaintiff has waived liability.

Sixth

The plaintiff has released the defendant from liability.

Seventh

None of the allegations alleged by the plaintiff amount to a state or federal constitutional

or statutory violation.

Eighth

Any of the alleged injuries sustained by the plaintiff are the direct result of his own acts and/or omissions, and not that of the defendant.

Ninth

The plaintiff is comparatively negligent.

Tenth

The plaintiff is contributorily negligent.

Defendant, Sheriff Frank G. Cousins, By his attorneys,

Douglas I. Louison BBO# 545191 Stephen C. Pfaff BBO# 553057 Merrick, Louison & Costello, LLP 67 Batterymarch Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 439-0305

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Stephen C. Pfaff